02.12.26 I FINANCIAL PLANNING

Helping Adult Children Financially: Why Structure Matters More Than Generosity

Todd A. Herman, CFP® – Financial Advisor I Financial Planning Specialist

Helping adult children financially has become increasingly common. Housing affordability, student debt, childcare costs, divorce, or uneven early-career income often prompt parents to step in, frequently at moments when the need feels immediate and the stakes feel personal.

From a planning perspective, the question is rarely whether to help – it is how that help should be structured. Writing a check, making a family loan, or using an existing account may appear simple at the outset, but each approach carries different tradeoffs. Any decision to support adult children is best evaluated only after confirming that the parents' own long-term financial security, particularly retirement sustainability, is firmly established.

This discussion is most relevant for parents and grandparents who are financially able to assist adult children with major milestones, such as purchasing a home or navigating early-career financial pressures. In practice, most support takes one of three forms: outright gifts, family loans, or the use of existing accounts, such as UTMA/UGMA accounts or 529 plans.

Each can be effective in the right context, but none are interchangeable. From a planning perspective, the more useful distinction is not whether helping is "right" or "wrong," but rather how that support is structured and fits within a broader long-term plan.

OUTRIGHT GIFTS: SIMPLE TO GIVE, DIFFICULT TO UNDO

Outright gifts are often the first solution families consider when helping with a down payment, education costs, or early living expenses. The appeal is understandable: Gifts feel clean, immediate, and free of ongoing obligation. They are especially attractive when a need feels urgent, such as securing housing or bridging a short-term gap, because they appear to resolve the situation quickly and decisively. From a planning perspective, however, their simplicity is often overstated.

One reason gifts are frequently misunderstood is due to gift taxation versus gift reporting. While many gifts do not result in immediate tax liability, transfers exceeding the annual exclusion typically require the filing of a gift tax return and reduce the donor's lifetime gift and estate tax exemption. These distinctions often reinforce the perception that gifts are uncomplicated, even though their cumulative impact can be meaningful over time.

"We often hear families worry that helping a child beyond the annual gift limit will trigger immediate gift tax," says Jay Barrett, CFP®, a Grimes & Company advisor. "In most cases, it's a reporting issue, not a tax bill. Properly structured loans aren't gifts at all."

Beyond tax mechanics, gifts permanently remove assets from the parents' balance sheet. That loss of flexibility can matter later, particularly if retirement spending, healthcare needs, or uneven support among multiple children become concerns. When gifts are used for home purchases, lenders typically require clear documentation, including a gift letter and proof of funds.

Viewed comparatively, gifts solve problems quickly but reduce flexibility in the long run, making them a tool (not a default) within a long-term plan.

FAMILY LOANS: WHERE STRUCTURE PROTECTS MORE THAN MONEY

Family loans are often viewed as a middle ground between outright gifts and permanent transfers. They promise flexibility without finality. In practice, however, the distinction that matters most is not whether support is labeled a "loan," but whether it is formal or informal.

Formal family loans are documented, carry interest at or above the applicable federal rate (AFR), and include clear repayment terms. When structured properly, they are generally respected for tax purposes and are not treated as gifts. Informal loans, those lacking documentation, interest, or defined repayment expectations, often function more like implied gifts, creating imputed interest issues and blurred boundaries over time.

What makes family loans difficult is rarely the math – it is the ambiguity. If this were a purely business transaction, expectations would be explicit and terms would be documented. Within families, those steps are often skipped to preserve goodwill, yet the absence of structure frequently introduces more emotion, not less.

Loan forgiveness is where intentions and outcomes most often diverge. Forgiven formal loans are typically treated as gifts at the time of forgiveness. Informal loans that are never repaid often arrive at the same destination, without clarity, documentation, or shared understanding. This also introduces avoidable tax and estate complexity along the way.

"If this were just business, it would be a straightforward conversation," asserts Grimes & Company advisor Neal French. "What complicates family loans is the unspoken assumption on both sides. A formal structure doesn't remove care or generosity: It often removes uncertainty, which ultimately protects both the relationship and the plan."

Viewed comparatively, formal family loans are less about repayment mechanics and more about setting boundaries. When terms are explicit, families avoid revisiting the same questions, reducing friction as situations change. See French's video on talking to your adult kids about finances.

UTMA AND 529 ACCOUNTS: PURPOSEFUL TOOLS WITH RIGID BOUNDARIES

UTMA/UGMA (Uniform Transfers to Minors Act and Uniform Gifts to Minors Act, respectively) accounts and 529 plans are often viewed as convenient sources of support because assets are already "earmarked for the child." In moments when

financial needs feel immediate, these accounts can appear to offer a ready-made solution. In practice, however, they are among the most common sources of planning regret, precisely because their rules are fixed long before future needs are clear.

UTMA and UGMA accounts are legally owned by the child, with control transferring automatically at the age of majority. Assets must be used for the child's benefit and may affect taxes, financial aid eligibility, or creditor exposure. Once control transfers, parental intent gives way to legal reality.

529 plans are designed for education, not general wealth transfer. While recent rule changes allowing limited 529-to-Roth IRA transfers have added a measure of adaptability, the rules remain narrow and subject to eligibility requirements, timing restrictions, and lifetime limits. Non-qualified withdrawals can still trigger taxes and penalties, limiting their usefulness outside a clearly defined educational purpose.

“Once assets are inside a UTMA or 529, the rules, not the family's intentions, tend to drive the outcome,” notes French. “That loss of optionality is rarely felt at the time of contribution, but it often becomes clear later, when priorities or circumstances change.”

Viewed comparatively, these accounts offer far less adaptability than gifts or properly structured loans. Their constraints are features, but only when they align closely with the original objective.

WHERE THE TRADEOFFS ACTUALLY SIT

Across gifts, loans, and account-based strategies, the common thread is not generosity, it is tradeoff management.

Outright gifts simplify transactions but permanently reduce parental balance-sheet flexibility. Family loans preserve adaptability when structured clearly, yet introduce risk when informality replaces documentation and shared expectations. UTMA/UGMA accounts and 529 plans offer defined purpose, but also impose rigid rules that narrow future options once assets are committed.

What is often underestimated is how these tradeoffs compound over time and surface unevenly as children's financial paths diverge. Decisions made (often under pressure) to address a short-term need can quietly influence retirement sustainability, tax exposure, benefit eligibility, and perceptions of fairness among children years, or even decades, later.

From a planning perspective, the question is rarely whether to help. It is how any form of support fits alongside long-term priorities, including retirement security, estate alignment, and family dynamics that may evolve in unpredictable ways. Structure does not eliminate tradeoffs, but it makes them visible, deliberate, and easier to manage over time.

A DISCIPLINED PLANNING PERSPECTIVE

Helping adult children financially can be meaningful and effective, but only when approached with clarity, structure, and long-term perspective. Gifts, loans, and account-based strategies each have a role, yet none should be viewed as interchangeable or purely tactical. The form of support chosen matters not only for tax and balance-sheet reasons, but for how expectations are set and relationships are preserved over time.

When support is structured thoughtfully, it can reflect the same real-world frameworks families navigate elsewhere: clear

© 2026 Grimes & Company Wealth Management, LLC (d/b/a Grimes & Company) I One Technology Drive, Suite 100, Westborough, MA 01581

terms, defined boundaries, and shared accountability, without undermining the underlying goal of help. In that sense, structure is not a withdrawal of generosity. It is often what allows support to be extended without creating dependency, resentment, or confusion later.

For families navigating intergenerational support decisions, urgency often clouds the bigger picture. What matters most is not just how quickly a near-term need is addressed, but rather how today's choices affect retirement security, taxes, estate planning, and family relationships over time.

As rules, family dynamics, and financial circumstances evolve, disciplined evaluation, not urgency, remains the foundation of sound intergenerational planning. At Grimes & Company, we work with families to evaluate these tradeoffs thoughtfully, and are happy to help with any questions you may have.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES:

Please remember that past performance is no guarantee of future results. Different types of investments involve varying degrees of risk, and there can be no assurance that the future performance of any specific investment, investment strategy, or product (including the investments and/or investment strategies recommended or undertaken by Grimes & Company Wealth Management, LLC (d/b/a Grimes & Company), or any non-investment related content, made reference to directly or indirectly in this blog will be profitable, equal any corresponding indicated historical performance level(s), be suitable for your portfolio or individual situation, or prove successful. Due to various factors, including changing market conditions and/or applicable laws, the content may no longer be reflective of current opinions or positions. Moreover, you should not assume that any discussion or information contained in this blog serves as the receipt of, or as a substitute for, personalized investment advice from Grimes. To the extent that a reader has any questions regarding the applicability of any specific issue discussed above to his/her individual situation, he/she is encouraged to consult with the professional advisor of his/her choosing. No amount of prior experience or success should be construed that a certain level of results or satisfaction will be achieved if Grimes is engaged, or continues to be engaged, to provide investment advisory services. Grimes is neither a law firm nor a certified public accounting firm and no portion of the blog content should be construed as legal or accounting advice. A copy of the Grimes' current written disclosure Brochure discussing our advisory services and fees is available for review upon request or at https://www.grimesco.com/form-crs-adv/. Please Note: Grimes does not make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy, timeliness, suitability, completeness, or relevance of any information prepared by any unaffiliated third party, whether linked to Grimes' web site or blog or incorporated herein, and takes no responsibility for any such content. All such information is provided solely for convenience purposes only and all users thereof should be guided accordingly. Please Remember: If you are a Grimes client, please contact Grimes, in writing, if there are any changes in your personal/financial situation or investment objectives for the purpose of reviewing/evaluating/revising our previous recommendations and/or services, or if you would like to impose, add, or to modify any reasonable restrictions to our investment advisory services. Unless, and until, you notify us, in writing, to the contrary, we shall continue to provide services as we do currently. Please Also Remember to advise us if you have not been receiving account statements (at least quarterly) from the account custodian./